Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/608,515	ERROR ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
BRADFORD F. FRITZ	2442

	BIADI ORD I . I RIIZ	2772		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Bradford F. Fritz</u> .	(3) <u>Amir H. Raubvogel</u> .			
2) <u>Andrew Caldwell</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 22 January 2009.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representati</mark> ve	•]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Burema, Sharder.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. ç	g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Dicussed the previous O prior art of record and the claimed invention</u> . No agreement	ffice action. Applicant discuss			<u>1e</u>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				